

[1;78-79].

( . . . ).

».

«

[8].

« . . . ».

« . . . ».

( . . . ),

« . . . ».

]. [

.

.

[5].

[3,4].

[6].

.

«

» [1;80-82].

[8].

« »

[2].

[ ].

[7].

1. , 1999.
2. // :http:// ps. 1september.ru/1999/33/4-1.htm.
3. Hollinger C.L. Facilitating the career development of gifted young women// *Roeper Review*.-1991.-V.13.-No.3.-Pp.135-139.
4. Hollinger C.L., Fleming E.S. A longitudinal examination of life choices of gifted and talented young women// *Gifted Child Quart.*-1992.-V.36.-No.4.-Pp. 207-212.
5. Jacobs J.E., Weisz V. Gender stereotypes: Implications for gifted education// *Roeper Review*.-1994.-V.16.-No.3.-Pp.152-155.
6. Sadker M. Sadker D. Is the OK Classroom OK? // *Phi Delta Kappan*.-1985.-No.55.-Pp. 358-367.
7. Steinkamp M. Motivational style as a mediator of adult achievements in science// Steinkamp M., Maehr M. (eds.) *Advances in motivation and achievement: Women in science*.-NY.:JAI PRESS, 1984.-Pp. 281-317.
8. Walker B.A., Reis S.M., Leonard J.S. A developmental investigation of the lives of gifted women// *Gifted Child Quart.*-1992.-V.36.-No 4.-Pp.201-206.